



P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, Indiana 46206
Telephone: 317/917-6222

Shipping/Overnight Address:
1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

www.ncaa.org

December 13, 2016

CONFIDENTIAL/VIA EMAIL

Chancellor Carol Folt
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
c/o Mr. Rick Evrard
Bond, Schoeneck & King
7500 College Boulevard, Suite 910
Overland Park, Kansas 66210


Dear Chancellor Folt:

In its November 28, 2016, letter to all parties, the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions considered your institution's procedural arguments and indicated its intent to proceed with a hearing on the merits of the case. The Committee on Infractions also provided the enforcement staff an opportunity to amend further the April 25, 2016, amended notice of allegations. In light of the Committee on Infractions' correspondence, the enforcement staff elected to prepare a second amended notice of allegations.

Therefore, the purpose of this letter and its enclosure is to provide the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, with a second amended notice of allegations. Please note that the policies and procedures outlined in the May 20, 2015, notice of allegations and corresponding cover letter still apply.

I look forward to working together with all parties to present complete and reliable information to the Committee on Infractions. If you have questions in the meantime, please do not hesitate to contact Tom Hosty, director of enforcement, at thosty@ncaa.org or 317/917-6042.

Sincerely,


Jonathan F. Duncan
Vice President of Enforcement

JFD:hlm

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Lissa Broome
Mr. Lawrence R. Cunningham
Mr. John D. Swofford
Ms. Marielle vanGelder
NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions
Selected NCAA Staff Members

National Collegiate Athletic Association

An association of over 1,200 members serving the student-athlete
Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS¹

to the

Chancellor of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

A. Processing Level of Case.

Based on information contained within the following allegations, the NCAA enforcement staff believes this case should be reviewed by a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions pursuant to procedures applicable to a severe breach of conduct (Level I violation).

B. Allegations.

1. [NCAA Division I Manual Bylaws 10.01.1, 10.1 and 16.11.2.1 (2002-03 through 2010-11)]

It is alleged that beginning in the 2002 fall semester and continuing through the 2011 summer semester, Deborah Crowder (Crowder), then student services manager in the African and Afro-American Studies (AFRI/AFAM) department, and Dr. Julius Nyang'oro (Nyang'oro), then professor and chair of the AFRI/AFAM department, violated the principles of ethical conduct and extra-benefit legislation in connection with certain anomalous AFRI/AFAM courses. The institution and its athletics department leveraged the relationship with Crowder and Nyang'oro to obtain special arrangements for student-athletes in violation of extra-benefit legislation. Specifically:

- a. Crowder and Nyang'oro maintained and administered anomalous courses in the AFRI/AFAM department and delegated to athletics personnel the authority to manage material aspects of these courses for student-athletes in violation of ethical-conduct and extra-benefit legislation. The anomalous courses were publicized as traditional lecture courses but were administered as independent studies requiring little, if any, attendance and minimal to no interaction with the faculty. Additionally, these courses included lax paper writing standards and awarded artificially high final grades to students enrolled in these courses. Although general students also took the anomalous courses, Crowder and Nyang'oro worked closely and directly with athletics. As a result, student-athletes were afforded greater access to the anomalous courses and enrolled in these courses at

¹ The enforcement staff believes the allegations in this notice meet two exceptions to the statute of limitations in NCAA Bylaw 19.5.11. First, the factual information indicates a pattern of willful violations that began before and continued into the four-year period. Second, the factual information indicates a blatant disregard for the NCAA's fundamental extra-benefit and ethical-conduct bylaws [and the enforcement staff satisfied the conditions identified in Bylaw 19.5.11-(c)].

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 2

a disproportionately higher rate than students who were not athletes. Many at-risk student-athletes, particularly in the sports of football and men's basketball, used these courses for purposes of ensuring their continuing NCAA academic eligibility. [NCAA Bylaws 10.01.1, 10.1 and 16.11.2.1 (2002-03 through 2010-11)]

- b. The institution and its athletics department leveraged the relationship with Crowder and Nyang'oro to obtain and/or provide special arrangements to student-athletes in violation of extra-benefit legislation. While general students had to work directly with Crowder or Nyang'oro to access and complete the anomalous courses, the institution and its athletics department provided student-athletes with special arrangements that were not generally available to the student body. These special arrangements were characterized by athletics personnel contacting individuals within the AFRI/AFAM department to register student-athletes in courses even after the deadline to enroll had passed; obtaining assignments for courses taught in the AFRI/AFAM department on behalf of student-athletes; suggesting assignments to the AFRI/AFAM department for student-athletes to complete; submitting papers on behalf of student-athletes; and, on occasion, requesting certain course offerings within the AFRI/AFAM department on behalf of student-athletes and recommending course grades for student-athletes. This involvement by athletics in the management of anomalous courses on behalf of student-athletes led student-athletes to avail themselves of the courses at a rate disproportionately higher than the general student body. Additionally, the excessive involvement by athletics in student-athletes' access to and completion of these courses was a benefit not generally available to other students and relieved student-athletes of the academic responsibilities of a general student. In some cases, these courses influenced the student-athletes' NCAA academic eligibility. [NCAA Bylaw 16.11.2.1 (2002-03 through 2010-11)]

This serves as part of the basis for the lack of institutional control allegation in Allegation No. 5.

Level of Allegation No. 1:

The NCAA enforcement staff believes a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions could determine that Allegation No. 1 is a severe breach of conduct (Level I) because the unethical conduct and the impermissible extra benefits provided over a nine-year timespan seriously undermined or threatened the integrity of the NCAA Collegiate Model, in particular, as articulated in NCAA Constitution 2.5. The provision of impermissible benefits in an academic context undermines the importance of a student-athlete's participation in his or her own education. The conduct also provided, or was intended to provide, a substantial or extensive impermissible benefit and competitive or other advantage. [NCAA Bylaw 19.1.1 (2016-17)]

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 3

Involved Individuals:

The enforcement staff believes a hearing panel could enter a show-cause order pursuant to Bylaw 19.9.5.4 regarding the following individuals' involvement in Allegation No. 1: Crowder and Nyang'oro.

Factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 1:

The attached exhibit details the factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 1. The enforcement staff incorporates the factual information referenced throughout this document, its exhibits and all other documents posted on the secure website.

2. [NCAA Division I Manual Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (2003-04 through 2010-11)]

It is alleged that from February 2003 to July 2010, Jan Boxill (Boxill), then philosophy instructor, director of the Parr Center for Ethics, women's basketball athletic academic counselor in the Academic Support Program for Student-Athletes and chair of the faculty, knowingly provided extra benefits in the form of impermissible academic assistance and special arrangements to women's basketball student-athletes. Specifically:

- a. On _____, Boxill provided the beginning of a paper in the form of an introduction and additional written content to a student-athlete to use in an unknown course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- b. On _____, Boxill provided an annotated bibliography for a student-athlete to edit and use for an unknown course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- c. On _____, Boxill provided the beginning of a paper in the form of an introduction for a student-athlete to use in her AFAM _____ course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- d. On _____, Boxill provided the beginning of a paper in the form an introduction and additional content to a student-athlete to use in her AFAM _____ course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- e. On _____, Boxill provided the beginning of a paper in the form of an introduction and additional content to a student-athlete to use in her AFAM _____ course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 4

- f. On _____, Boxill provided a completed quiz for a student-athlete to use in a PHIL _____ course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- g. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper for the course AFAM _____, Boxill added content to the student-athlete's introduction and conclusion. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- h. On _____, Boxill provided the beginning of a paper in the form of an introduction and additional content to a student-athlete to use in her AFAM course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- i. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper for the course AFAM _____, Boxill added content to the paper in the form of a conclusion. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- j. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper, Boxill added content in the form of several additional paragraphs to use in an unknown course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- k. On _____, Boxill provided the beginning of a paper in the form of an introduction and additional content for a student-athlete to use in an unknown course. [NCAA Bylaw 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- l. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's journal entries, Boxill added additional content in the form of a conclusion to one of the journal entries for an unknown course. [NCAA Bylaw 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- m. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper for the course AFAM _____, Boxill added content to the paper in the form of a conclusion. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- n. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper for the course AFAM _____, Boxill added content to the paper in the form of a conclusion. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- o. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper, Boxill added content to the paper by providing additional quotations to use in an unknown course. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 5

- p. On _____, Boxill wrote to an instructor in the exercise science department and asked that the instructor provide a specific grade to a student-athlete in the course. This occurred after the conclusion of the semester. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- q. On _____, after reviewing a student-athlete's incomplete paper for a psychology course, Boxill added additional content at the end of the paper. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]
- r. On _____, in an email communication with the African and Afro-American Studies department concerning a student-athlete's paper, Boxill requested a grade to the department for the submitted work. [NCAA Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(c) and 16.11.2.1 (_____)]

This serves as part of the basis for the lack of institutional control allegation in Allegation No. 5.

Level of Allegation No. 2:

The NCAA enforcement staff believes a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions could determine that Allegation No. 2 is a severe breach of conduct (Level I) because the violations involve unethical conduct and, thus, seriously undermine or threaten the integrity of the NCAA Collegiate Model. The impermissible academic assistance along with the academic arrangements also provided or were intended to provide extensive or substantial impermissible benefits. [NCAA Constitution 2.2 and Bylaws 19.1.1 and 19.1.1-(d) (2016-17)]

Involved Individual:

The enforcement staff believes a hearing panel could enter a show-cause order pursuant to Bylaw 19.9.5.4 regarding Boxill's involvement in Allegation No. 2.

Factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 2:

The attached exhibit details the factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 2. The enforcement staff incorporates the factual information referenced throughout this document, its exhibits and all other documents posted on the secure website.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 6

3. [NCAA Division I Manual Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(a) and 19.2.3 (2014-15)]

It is alleged that in 2014 and 2015, Deborah Crowder (Crowder), former student services manager in the African and Afro-American Studies department, violated the NCAA principles of ethical conduct when she failed to furnish information relevant to an investigation of possible violations of NCAA legislation when requested to do so by the NCAA enforcement staff and institution. Specifically, Crowder refused to participate in an interview with both the institution and enforcement staff despite at least three requests for her participation.

Level of Allegation No. 3:

The enforcement staff believes a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions could determine that Allegation No. 3 is a severe breach of conduct (Level I) because the violation involves individual unethical conduct and a failure to cooperate in an enforcement investigation. Participation in an enforcement investigation is critical to the common interests of the NCAA's membership. [NCAA Bylaws 19.1.1 and 19.1.1-(c) (2016-17)]

Involved Individual:

The enforcement staff believes a hearing panel could enter a show-cause order pursuant to Bylaw 19.9.5.4 regarding Crowder's involvement in Allegation No. 3.

Factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 3:

The attached exhibit details the factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 3. The enforcement staff incorporates the factual information referenced throughout this document, its exhibits and all other documents posted on the secure website.

4. [NCAA Division I Manual Bylaws 10.1, 10.1-(a) and 19.2.3 (2014-15)]

It is alleged that in 2014 and 2015, Dr. Julius Nyang'oro (Nyang'oro), former professor and chair of the African and Afro-American Studies department, violated the NCAA principles of ethical conduct when he failed to furnish information relevant to an investigation of possible violations of NCAA legislation when requested to do so by the NCAA enforcement staff and institution. Specifically, Nyang'oro refused to participate in an interview with both the institution and enforcement staff despite at least five requests for his participation.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 7

Level of Allegation No. 4:

The enforcement staff believes a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions could determine that Allegation No. 4 is a severe breach of conduct (Level I) because the violation involves individual unethical conduct and a failure to cooperate in an enforcement investigation. Participation in an enforcement investigation is critical to the common interests of the NCAA's membership. [NCAA Bylaws 19.1.1 and 19.1.1-(c) (2016-17)]

Involved Individual:

The enforcement staff believes a hearing panel could enter a show-cause order pursuant to Bylaw 19.9.5.4 regarding Nyang'oro's involvement in Allegation No. 4.

Factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 4:

The attached exhibit details the factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 4. The enforcement staff incorporates the factual information referenced throughout this document, its exhibits and all other documents posted on the secure website.

5. [NCAA Division I Manual Constitution 2.1.1, 2.8.1 and 6.01.1 (2002-03 through 2010-11)]

It is alleged that the scope and nature of the violations set forth in Allegation Nos. 1 and 2 demonstrate that from the 2002 fall semester and continuing through the 2011 summer semester, the institution failed to exercise institutional control and failed to monitor the conduct and administration of its athletics programs. Specifically:

- a. Related to Allegation No. 1, the institution did not identify and investigate anomalous courses offered by the African and Afro-American Studies (AFRI/AFAM) department or athletics' use of those courses. When individuals brought concerns to the attention of the leaders on campus and within athletics, those leaders had multiple opportunities to investigate the maintenance and administration of anomalous AFRI/AFAM courses and student-athletes' use of the courses. The institution failed to exert control when it did not recognize and sufficiently investigate these practices. Both campus and athletics department administrators' reactions and responses to those opportunities were inadequate and ineffective, which allowed the violations in Allegation No. 1 to occur and to continue unabated for multiple years.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 8

Further, the institution did not demonstrate control when it failed to provide adequate guidance, rules education and supervision to institutional representatives employed with Academic Support Services for Student-Athletes (ASPSA). These failures allowed the violations in Allegation No. 1 involving ASPSA to occur and to go unchecked for multiple years. [NCAA Constitution 2.1.1, 2.8.1 and 6.01.1 (2002-03 through 2010-11)]

- b. Related to Allegation No. 2, the institution failed to control and monitor the activities of Jan Boxill (Boxill), then philosophy instructor, director of the Parr Center for Ethics, women's basketball athletics academic counselor in ASPSA and chair of the faculty. Although employed by ASPSA, Boxill conducted her athletics academic advising activities largely in the philosophy department. Despite concerns expressed by some at the institution that Boxill's relationships with the women's basketball student-athletes may have been too close, the institution did not monitor Boxill or determine whether her conduct violated institutional rules or NCAA bylaws. As a result, Boxill provided extra benefits in the form of impermissible academic assistance to women's basketball student-athletes over multiple years. [NCAA Constitution 2.1.1, 2.8.1 and 6.01.1 (2002-03 through 2010-11)]

Level of Allegation No. 5:

The NCAA enforcement staff believes a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions could determine that Allegation No. 5 is a severe breach of conduct (Level I) because the violations seriously undermine or threaten the integrity of the NCAA Collegiate Model and because lack of institutional control violations are presumed to be Level I. [NCAA Bylaws 19.9.1 and 19.1.1-(a) (2016-17)]

Involved Individual(s):

None.

Factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 5:

The attached exhibit details the factual information on which the enforcement staff relies for Allegation No. 5. The enforcement staff incorporates the factual information referenced throughout this document, its exhibits and all other documents posted on the secure website.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 9

Specific to Allegation Nos. 1 through 5:

- a. Please indicate whether the information contained within these allegations is substantially correct and whether the institution and involved individuals identified in these allegations believe violations of NCAA legislation occurred. Submit materials to support your response.
- b. If the institution and involved individuals believe NCAA violations occurred, please indicate whether there is substantial agreement on the level of the violation. Submit materials to support your response.
- c. Please indicate whether the factual information is substantially correct and whether the institution and involved individuals have additional pertinent information and/or facts. Submit facts in support of your response.

C. Potential Aggravating and Mitigating Factors.

Pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 19.7.1, the NCAA enforcement staff has identified the following potential aggravating and mitigating factors a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions may consider.

1. Institution:

a. Aggravating factors. [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.3]

(1) Multiple Level I violations. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(a)]

The notice of allegations includes multiple Level I violations involving unethical conduct, extra benefits and a lack of institutional control.

(2) A history of Level I, Level II or major violations by the institution, sport program(s) or involved individuals. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(b)] They include:

(a) March 12, 2012 – Violations of NCAA legislation regarding academic fraud, impermissible benefits, impermissible participation, unethical conduct, failure to monitor, preferential treatment, failure to cooperate and failure to report outside income.

(b) January 10, 1961 – Violations of NCAA legislation involving improper entertainment and lodging and improper recruiting entertainment.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 10

(3) Lack of institutional control. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(c)]

As described in Allegation No. 5, the institution demonstrated a lack of institutional control.

(4) Persons of authority condoned, participated in or negligently disregarded the violation or related wrongful conduct. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(h)]

Jan Boxill (Boxill), then philosophy instructor, director of the Parr Center for Ethics, women's basketball athletics academic counselor in ASPSA and chair of the faculty, abused her position as a faculty member by providing impermissible academic assistance.

b. Mitigating factor. [Bylaw 19.9.4]

An established history of self-reporting Level III or secondary violations. [Bylaw 19.9.4-(d)] They include:

The institution reported approximately 95 Level III/secondary self-reports over the last four years.

2. **Involved individual [Deborah Crowder (Crowder), former student services manager in the AFRI/AFAM department]:**

a. Aggravating factor. [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.3]

Unethical conduct, compromising the integrity of an investigation, failing to cooperate during an investigation, or refusing to provide all relevant or requested information. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(e)]

As noted in Allegation Nos. 1 and 3, Crowder is charged with unethical conduct.

b. Mitigating factor(s). [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.4]

The enforcement staff did not identify any mitigating factors for Crowder.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 11

3. Involved Individual [Julius Nyang'oro (Nyang'oro), former chair of the AFRI/AFAM department]:

a. Aggravating factor. [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.3]

Unethical conduct, compromising the integrity of an investigation, failing to cooperate during an investigation, or refusing to provide all relevant or requested information. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(e)]

As noted in Allegation Nos. 1 and 4, Nyang'oro is charged with unethical conduct.

b. Mitigating factor(s). [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.4]

The enforcement staff did not identify any mitigating factors for Nyang'oro.

4. Involved individual [Jen Boxill (Boxill), then philosophy instructor, director of the Parr Center for Ethics, women's basketball athletics academic counselor in ASPSA and chair of the faculty]:

a. Aggravating factors. [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.3]

(1) Unethical conduct. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(e)]

Boxill provided repeated impermissible academic extra benefits in the form of providing content to student-athletes' papers as well as recommending a grade for a student-athlete.

(2) Persons of authority condoned, participated in or negligently disregarded the violation or related wrongful conduct. [Bylaw 19.9.3-(h)]

Boxill abused her position as a faculty member by providing impermissible academic assistance.

b. Mitigating factor(s). [NCAA Bylaw 19.9.4]

The enforcement staff did not identify any mitigating factors for Boxill.

D. Request for Supplemental Information.

1. Provide mailing and email addresses for all necessary parties to receive communications from the hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions related to this matter.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 12

2. Indicate how the violations were discovered.
3. Provide a detailed description of any corrective or punitive actions implemented by the institution as a result of the violations acknowledged in this inquiry. In that regard, explain the reasons the institution believes these actions to be appropriate and identify the violations on which the actions were based. Additionally, indicate the date that any corrective or punitive actions were implemented.
4. Provide a detailed description of all disciplinary actions taken against any current or former athletics department staff members as a result of violations acknowledged in this inquiry. In that regard, explain the reasons the institution believes these actions to be appropriate and identify the violations on which the actions were based. Additionally, indicate the date that any disciplinary actions were taken and submit copies of all correspondence from the institution to each individual describing these disciplinary actions.
5. Provide a short summary of every past Level I, Level II or major infractions case involving the institution or individuals named in this notice. In this summary, provide the date of the infractions report(s), a description of the violations found by the Committee on Infractions/hearing panel, the individuals involved, and the penalties and corrective actions. Additionally, provide a copy of any major infractions reports involving the institution or individuals named in this notice that were issued by the Committee on Infractions/hearing panel within the last 10 years.
6. Provide a chart depicting the institution's reporting history of Level III and secondary violations for the past five years. In this chart, please indicate for each academic year the number of total Level III and secondary violations reported involving the institution or individuals named in this notice. Also include the applicable bylaws for each violation, and then indicate the number of Level III and secondary violations involving just the sports team(s) named in this notice for the same five-year time period.
7. Provide the institution's overall conference affiliation, as well as the total enrollment on campus and the number of men's and women's sports sponsored.
8. Provide a statement describing the general organization and structure of the institution's intercollegiate athletics department, including the identities of those individuals in the athletics department who were responsible for the supervision of all sport programs during the previous four years.
9. State when the institution has conducted systematic reviews of NCAA and institutional regulations for its athletics department employees. Also, identify the agencies, individuals

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 13

or committees responsible for these reviews and describe their responsibilities and functions.

10. Provide the following information concerning the sports programs identified in this inquiry:

- The average number of initial and total grants-in-aid awarded during the past four academic years.
- The number of initial and total grants-in-aid in effect for the current academic year (or upcoming academic year if the regular academic year is not in session) and the number anticipated for the following academic year.
- The average number of official paid visits provided by the institution to prospective student-athletes during the past four years.
- Copies of the institution's squad lists for the past four academic years.
- Copies of the institution's media guides, either in hard copy or through electronic links, for the past four academic years.
- A statement indicating whether the provisions of NCAA Bylaws 31.2.2.3 and 31.2.2.4 apply to the institution as a result of the involvement of student-athletes in violations noted in this inquiry.
- A statement indicating whether the provisions of Bylaw 19.9.7-(g) apply to the institution as a result of the involvement of student-athletes in violations noted in this inquiry.

11. Consistent with Committee on Infractions IOP 4-16-2-1 Total Budget for Sport Program and 4-16-2-2 Submission of Total Budget for Sport Program, please submit the three previous fiscal years' total budgets for all involved sport programs. At a minimum, a sport program's total budget shall include: (1) all contractual compensation including salaries, benefits and bonuses paid by the institution or related entities for coaching, operations, administrative and support staff tied to the sport program; (2) all recruiting expenses; (3) all team travel, entertainment and meals; (4) all expenses associated with equipment, uniforms and supplies; (5) game expenses; and (6) any guarantees paid associated with the sport program.

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF ALLEGATIONS

Case No. 00231

December 13, 2016

Page No. 14

Any additional information or comments regarding this case are welcome.

Individuals Who May Be Mentioned in The Factual Information Chart

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill – Case No. 00231

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Baddour, Dick – former director of athletics.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Blanchard, John – former senior associate director of athletics.

Blanton, Brent – associate director of academic support.

– former women's basketball student athlete.

Boxill, Jan – philosophy instructor, director of the Parr Center for Ethics, women's basketball athletics academic counselor in the Academic Support Program for Student-Athletes (ASPSA) and chair of the faculty.

Bridger, Beth – former associate director, ASPSA.

Broome, Lissa – Wells Fargo professor of banking law, director of the Center for Banking and Finance School of Law, faculty athletics representative.

Browning, Christopher – history professor emeritus.

Bunting, John – former head football coach.

Calder, Andrew – associate head women's basketball coach.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Crowder, Debbie – former department administrator in the department of African and Afro-American Studies (AFRI/AFAM).

Davis, Butch – former head football coach.

Dawson, Alice – assistant director of academic advising programs.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Evans, Jack – former faculty athletics representative.

Evrard, Rick – outside counsel for the institution.

Gore, Travis – administrative support associate, AFRI/AFAM.

Hatchell, Sylvia – head women's basketball coach.

Herman, Amy – former associate athletics director for compliance.

Holladay, Joe – former assistant men's basketball coach.

Holliday, Corey – associate director of athletics for football administration.

– former professor

Jordan, Dr. Elizabeth – former senior lecturer in the psychology and neuroscience department.

Kleissler, Amy – former learning specialist, ASPSA.

– former women's basketball student-athlete

LeFebvre, Donna – professor of political science.

Lee, Jamie – former academic counselor, ASPSA.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Maloy, Susan – former assistant athletics director for certification and eligibility, tutorial coordinator, ASPSA.

Marucci, Marisa – former athletics academic counselor, ASPSA.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Mercer, Robert – former director of the academic support program for student-athletes.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Moeser, James – chancellor emeritus and professor; acting director, Institute for the Arts and Humanities.

Nyang'oro, Dr. Julius – former chair and professor in the AFRI/AFAM department.

Owen, Bobbi – former senior associate dean for undergraduate education.

Porter, Robert – lecturer in the AFRI/AFAM department.

Read, Whitney – former tutor, ASPSA.

Register, Charlene – associate professor, AFRI/AFAM

Renner, Joy – faculty in the school of medicine in radiologic science and chair of the faculty athletics committee.

Reynolds, Cynthia – former associate director of the ASPSA.

Robinson, Steve – assistant men's basketball coach.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

– former women's basketball student-athlete.

Taylor, Betsy – former graduation coordinator, College of Arts and Sciences.

Thomas, William – attorney for Nyang'oro.

Townsend, Jenn – associate director, ASPSA.

Walden, Wayne – former associate director, ASPSA.

Weiler, Jonathan – academic counselor.

Williams, Roy – head men's basketball coach.

Withers, Everett – former assistant football coach.

Woodard, Harold – associate dean and director of the Center for Student Success and Academic Counseling.